UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,773	01/27/2004	Gregory J. LaRosa	M2051-701740	3246
37462 7590 07/29/2008 LOWRIE, LANDO & ANASTASI, LLP ONE MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100 CAMPRIDGE MA 02142			EXAMINER	
			BOESEN, AGNIESZKA	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@ll-a.com gengelson@ll-a.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/766,773	LAROSA ET AL.			
interview Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Agnieszka Boesen	1648			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Agnieszka Boesen, USPTO</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Laurie Butler Lawrence, Applicant's representative</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 21 July 2008.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>75</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>no</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	)□ was not reached. h)□ N	//A.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative pointed to the specification supporting the enablement of claim 75 and explained the state of the art with regard to the functional mutations in the human constant antibody region. Examiner agreed to withdraw the enablement rejection of claim 75. Applicant will file a response.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
<b>-</b>	/Agnieszka Boesen, Ph.D./ Examiner, Art Unit 1648 Examiner's signature, if requi	red			